

①

The City of Suffolk Virginia Versus Joe Lee Fulgham
For Virginia Sexually Violent Predator's Act

Joe Lee Fulgham was arrested By A

Suffolk Virginia Policeman on March 10, 2004

Illegally Because It Equaled False Arrest Because

That Arrest was For A Rape Revocation

Hearing That Took Place on April 22, 2004 In

Suffolk Circuit Court In Suffolk Virginia

That rape revocation hearing covered Joe

Lee Fulgham was accused of broke probation

rules 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and for missed a drug class

In That rape revocation hearing the honorable

Judge Westbrook J. Parker violated Joe Lee Fulgham

probation for the rape conviction He said and

2

reinstated five years and three months
for when there is no rape conviction on
Joe Lee Fulgham criminal record, But Joe
Lee Fulgham served that five years and
three months sentence for that false
arrest that the rape revocation hearing
covered. The Court of Appeal In Richmond
Virginia And Virginia Supreme Court Denied
That Rape Revocation Hearing Appeal Even
Though It represent A False Arrest Claim
Officially That Joe Lee Fulgham want to
press criminal charge(s) for today and Joe
Lee Fulgham already put in a civil action "

(M)
this Lawsuit for the cap both claims

were wrongfully denied. This Appeal Free

Joe Lee Fulgham From All legal Holds on

Joe Lee Fulgham Thru the rape revocation

hearing that is mentioned in this legal

letter ~~officially~~ please. under Forma papers

Because Joe Lee Fulgham own 2 \$ only. Signed

Under Virginia Perjury clause ~~By Joe Lee Fulgham~~

Motion Please Add A Copy of This

Letter with The Courts Reply Please

And Thank You Very Much.

Motion Confirm Officially Mr Mark Herring V. Joe Lee Fulgham
Virginia Attorney Generals The last three Perjured
themselves A Misdemeanor In Involuntarily Civil Commitment
Act Petition Virginia Versus Joe Lee Fulgham And Joe Lee Fulgham
wants to press that criminal charge against attorney General
Mark Herring Officially Immediately Magistrate, Police Dept.
under Virginia perjury clause signed ~~By Joe Lee Fulgham~~

W

Amicus Curiae
By Friend of the Court
Mr. Stephen B. Platt

Motion Do The Right Things For

Dear Judge Carl Edward Eason Junior

Please Free Joe Lee Fulgham From Involuntarily
Civil Commitment Act Petition Trial Case
Number CL07-751 In Suffolk Circuit Court
In Suffolk Virginia Because The Honorable
Judge Carl Edward Eason Junior Called
Joe Lee Fulgham An Sexually Violent Predator
When Joe Lee Fulgham Record Said Joe Lee
Fulgham Was Not An Sexually Violent Predator,
It Is What The Evidence Represented Officially
For Involuntary Civil Commitment Act Petition Case
number CL07-751 In Suffolk Circuit Court In Suffolk
Virginia For That Trial Appeal Denial In Virginia Supreme
Court too. Pay This Lawsuit to Joe Lee Fulgham for the cap

4
or for \$10,000,000.00 signed under Forma

Pauperis because I, Joe Lee Fulgham own a \$ only,

signed under Virginia perjury clause By Joe

Lee Fulgham

The rape revocation hearing was
in 2004,

Joe Lee Fulgham Involuntarily
Civil Commitment Act Petition
trial wrongfully covered from
2007 Thru today's date

It Is Time For Virginia To
Act Thru Proper Procedure And
Thru Due Process Rights OF Virginia
And Joe Lee Fulgham

Amicus Curiae Defense of Joe Lee Fulgham
Court Appointed Lawyer Mr. Stephen B. Platt

The City of Suffolk Virginia Versus
Joe Lee Fulgham

Fraud In The Factum

Generally Arises From A

Disparity Between The Instrument

Executed And The One Intended

To Be Executed.

Defense, Appeal, Lawsuit of Joe

Lee Fulgham For Involuntarily Civilly

Commitment Act Petition Case

number CLO7-751 In Suffolk Circuit

Court In Suffolk Virginia And For

That Trial Appeal Denial In Virginia

Supreme Court Too.



Appeal To Be Freed Thru
Lawsuit To Be Paid For
For The Cap Or For
One Million Dollars Judgment
Subject To Immediate Execution.
Signed By Joe Lee Fulgham Under
Forma Pauperis Because I, Joe Lee
Fulgham own 2¢ only. Signed Under
Virginia Perjury Clause By Joe Lee Fulgham